

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/799,587	03/15/2004	Tsutomu Yoshimoto	GOT 182	8269	
23995	7590 02/15/2005		EXAM	INER	
RABIN & Berdo, PC			SCHWARTZ, CH	SCHWARTZ, CHRISTOPHER P	
1101 14TH STREET, NW SUITE 500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			3683		
			DATE MAILED: 02/15/200:	DATE MAILED: 02/15/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
<	10/799,587	YOSHIMOTO, TSUTOMU				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
, ·	Christopher P. Schwartz	3683				
The MAILING DATE of this communicat Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA: - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic: - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutor. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 'CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repation. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n .					
• •	 ☐ This action is non-final.	`				
	· _					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection	-	` '				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for to a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doces. 2. Certified copies of the priority doces. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International. * See the attached detailed Office action for	numents have been received. Suments have been received in Apole priority documents have been re Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). The received in Apole Point received in Apole Point	plication No eceived in this National Stage eceived.				
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3.	4)	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application (P. 10) P. 10 P				

Application/Control Number: 10/799,587

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement has been received and considered.

Priority

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because it is unclear why the structure presented by numeral 6 appears to be different in figures 1 and 2.. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and

Art Unit: 3683

informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Page 3

Specification

3. Applicant should reference their copending application (10/794,049) on page 1 of the specification. Applicant should also be careful to avoid an obvious-type double patenting rejection upon amendment to the claims.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 1-2 objected to because of the following informalities: It is not entirely clear what applicant means on the last couple of lines of claim 1 by the phrase "such that the one is <u>displaceable</u>...". Looking at figure 1 how is one of the rods displaceable? While it is noted applicant appears to have antecedent basis for this in the specification on page 2 and the last full paragraph of page 5, this should be further explained. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 1 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Funkhouser '193 in view of Wilson.

Regarding claim 1 Funkhouser discloses a piston and rod assembly in a shock absorber similar to that of applicant's. Note the first piston rod at 26,41 and the second Application/Control Number: 10/799,587 Page 4

Art Unit: 3683

piston rod 26 or 41. Note the piston rod connection assembly in the area of 56,70,71. As discussed at the top of col. 3 the rod guide 40 is "centrally apertured to provide a predeterminately leakable bearing slidably supporting the rod 41 and the tubular extension 43 is "sufficiently large".

It would have been obvious to have altered the inside diameter of the rod guide 40 to let a predetermined amount of fluid flow therethrough. The rod then could be said to be "displaceable" in a direction perpendicular to an axis of the piston, to some degree, as broadly claimed.

The reference to Wilson is relied upon to show such shock absorbers could be adapted to be used in "front forks" due to their similar structure and that to have modified Funkhouser with a hydro-pneumatic damping medium would have been obvious dependent upon the ride and handling characteristics desired.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 2 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references cited have been cited for showing similar structure.

Art Unit: 3683

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher P. Schwartz whose telephone number is 703-308-0576. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Bucci can be reached on 703-308-3668. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cps 2/8/05